

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 LUNELL GAMBLE, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN,
11 INC., et al.,
12 Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-06621-YGR (TSH)

DISCOVERY ORDER

Re: Dkt. Nos. 187, 188

13 The parties have a dispute about whether there should be a general protective order¹ in this
14 case, and if so, whether it should contain an AEO level of confidentiality. ECF Nos. 187, 188.
15 Given the nature of the documents Kaiser is likely to produce, the Court finds that a general
16 protective order is appropriate and that an AEO level is as well. Plaintiffs are free to challenge
17 Kaiser's confidentiality designations as to particular documents, but their arguments that no such
18 order should be issued, or that an AEO level is unwarranted, are unpersuasive. The Court orders
19 Kaiser to file its proposed order as a [Proposed] Protective Order for the undersigned's signature
20 (not a "stipulated" protective order, which obviously it isn't).

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: May 11, 2022

24 
25 THOMAS S. HIXSON
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28

¹ There is a specific protective order that applies to Plaintiffs' medical records. ECF No. 178.